

Fact Sheet

Public support for deposit return systems: Country-by-country opinion polls

Table 1 Summary of Public Opinion Polls Showing Support for Introduction of DRS Legislation (2003-2022)

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|---|---------|--|--|
| Western Australia, Australia ⁱ | 2006 | 94.5% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey commissioned by ECOS Corporation and carried out by Newspoll • Survey conducted by telephone from May 5-7 and May 12-14, 2006 • Sample size: 300 • 79% “strongly in favour” and 15% “partly in favour” |
| Western Australia, Australia ⁱⁱ | 2007 | 94.5% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll from February 16-18 and February 23-25, 2007 • Sample size: 304 • 79% “strongly in favour” and 19% “partly in favour” |
| Western Australia, Australia ⁱⁱⁱ | 2011 | 74.2% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for The Total Environment Centre from April 15-17, 2011 • Sample size: 150 • “56.1% “strongly in favour” and 18.1% “partly in favour” |
| Western Australia, Australia ^{iv} | 2012 | 85% in favour of introducing nation-wide legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll from July 27-29, 2012 • Sample size: 152 • 72% “strongly in favour” and 13% “partly in favour” |
| Western Australia, Australia ^v | 2012/13 | 87.6% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey conducted by Newspoll • Sample size: 303 • 70.8% “strongly in favour” and 16.8% “partly in favour” |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|--|------|--|--|
| Western Australia, Australia ^{vi} | 2013 | 90% in favour of introducing nationwide legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance and Total Environment Centre from October 18-20, 2013 • Sample size: 150 • 74% “strongly in favour” and 15% “partly in favour” |
| Western Australia, Australia ^{vii} | 2017 | 97.1% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted in 2017 by the Government of Western Australia • Sample size: 3,236 |
| Western Australia, Australia ^{viii} | 2017 | 93% in favour of introducing legislation for plastic bottles | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted November 16-21, 2017 • Sample size: 402 • 67% “strongly support” and 26% “support” a 10-cents refund on each plastic bottle returned for recycling |
| Northern Territory, Australia ^{ix} | 2011 | 79% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll from February 2-3, 2011 • Sample size: 250 • 67% “strongly in favour” and 12% “partly in favour” • 87% believe a 10-cent deposit will encourage more people to recycle these items |
| Victoria, Australia ^x | 2011 | 73% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for The Total Environment Centre from April 15-17, 2011 • Sample size: 300 • 53.7% “strongly in favour” and 19.3% “partly in favour” |
| Victoria, Australia ^{xi} | 2012 | 78% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance from July 27-29, 2012 • Sample size: 304 • 61% “strongly in favour” and 18% “partly in favour” |
| Victoria, Australia ^{xii} | 2013 | 81% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey conducted by Newspoll 7/16 June 2013 • Sample size: 300 • 60% “strongly in favour” and 21% “partly in favour” |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|---|------|---|--|
| Victoria, Australia ^{xiii} | 2013 | 82% in favour of introducing nationwide legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance and Total Environment Centre from October 18-20, 2013 • Sample size: 300 • 62% “strongly in favour” and 21% “partly in favour” |
| Victoria, Australia ^{xiv} | 2015 | 79% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted January/February 2015 • Sample size: 608 |
| Victoria, Australia ^{xv} | 2018 | 84% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted June 22-27, 2018 for the Total Environment Centre • Sample size: 503 • Support was highest among those living in households with 5+ people (87%) and lowest among single-person households (77%) • Support was also high among those with an associate diploma (89%), bachelor’s degree (87%), and skilled vocational (87%). • Widows (94%), as well as those living common law or with a partner (87%), married (85%), or divorced/separated (84%) showed more support than those who were single or never married (77%). |
| Victoria, Australia ^{xvi} | 2019 | 92% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted in July 2019 by Infrastructure Australia • Sample size: 1,000 |
| New South Wales / ACT, Australia ^{xvii} | 2011 | 73.9% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for The Total Environment Centre from April 15-17, 2011 • Sample size: 350 • 55.6% “strongly in favour” and 18.3% “partly in favour” |
| New South Wales / ACT, Australia ^{xviii} | 2012 | 80% in favour of introducing legislation throughout Australia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance from July 27-29, 2012 • Sample size: 352 • 65% “strongly in favour” and 15% “partly in favour” |
| New South Wales / ACT, | 2013 | 88% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance and Total Environment Centre from October 18-20, 2013 |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|---|------|---|--|
| Australia ^{xxix} | | throughout Australia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample size: 350 • 67% “strongly in favour” and 21% “partly in favour” |
| New South Wales, Australia ^{xx} | 2013 | 86% in favour of introducing legislation throughout Australia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance June 7/16, 2013 • Sample size: 665 • 68% “strongly in favour” and 18% “partly in favour” |
| New South Wales, Australia ^{xxi} | 2015 | 90% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Total Environment Centre throughout January/February 2015 • Sample size: 669 • Support was highest among grocery buyers with children (92%) |
| Queensland, Australia ^{xxii} | 2011 | 76% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for The Total Environment Centre from April 15-17, 2011 • Sample size: 200 • 44.8% “strongly in favour” and 31.2% “partly in favour” |
| Queensland, Australia ^{xxiii} | 2012 | 82% in favour of introducing legislation throughout Australia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance from July 27-29, 2012 • Sample size: 201 • 59% “strongly in favour” and 23% “partly in favour” |
| Queensland, Australia ^{xxiv} | 2013 | 85% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Newspoll commissioned by Greenpeace and Boomerang Alliance • Sample size: 400 • 67% “strongly in favour” and 18% “partly in favour” |
| Queensland, Australia ^{xxv} | 2013 | 88% in favour of introducing legislation throughout Australia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance and Total Environment Centre from October 18-20, 2013 • Sample size: 200 • 66% “strongly in favour” and 22% “partly in favour” |
| Queensland, Australia ^{xxvi} | 2015 | 86% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted January/February 2015 • Sample size: 407 |
| Australian | 2015 | 81% in favour of | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey; poll closed January 13, 2015 |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|---|------|---|--|
| Capital Territory, Australia ^{xxvii} | | introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample size: 624 |
| South Australia, Australia ^{xxviii} | 2012 | 98% in favour of introducing legislation throughout Australia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance over the period of July 27-29, 2012 • Sample size: 153 • 93% “strongly in favour” and 5% “partly in favour” |
| South Australia, Australia ^{xxix} | 2013 | 93% in favour of introducing legislation throughout Australia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance and Total Environment Centre from October 18-20, 2013 • Sample size: 151 • 81% “strongly in favour” and 12% “partly in favour” |
| Australia (National) ^{xxx} | 2012 | 82.1% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance over the period of July 27-29, 2012 • Sample size: 1,212 • 65.7% “strongly in favour” and 16.5% “partly in favour” |
| Australia (National) ^{xxxi} | 2013 | 87% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Total Environment Centre and Boomerang Alliance from October 18-20, 2013 • Sample size: 1,201 • 67% “strongly in favour” and 20% “partly in favour” |
| Tasmania, Australia ^{xxxii} | 2011 | 70.1% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for The Total Environment Centre from April 15-17, 2011 • Sample size: 50 • 46.8% “strongly in favour” and 23.4% “partly in favour” |
| Tasmania, Australia ^{xxxiii} | 2011 | 91% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll undertaken from May 23-29, 2011 • Sample size: 1,000 • 75% “strongly support” and 16% “partly support” |
| Tasmania, | 2012 | 86.6% in favour of | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance over |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---|---|
| Australia ^{xxxiv} | | introducing legislation throughout Australia | the period of July 27-29, 2012 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample size: 50 • 74.9% “strongly in favour” and 11.8% “partly in favour” |
| Tasmania, Australia ^{xxxv} | 2013 | 86% in favour of introducing legislation throughout Australia | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted by Newspoll for the Boomerang Alliance and Total Environment Centre from October 18-20, 2013 • Sample size: 50 • 68% “strongly in favour” and 18% “partly in favour” |
| New Zealand ^{xxxvi} | 2017 | 83% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research commissioned by WasteMINZ’s Territorial Authority (TA) Forum • Sample size: 1,000 • 58% “strongly in support” • 78% of respondents support including all types of drinks containers being in the DRS |
| New Zealand | 2018-19 | 96% in favour of introducing legislation ^{xxxvii} | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey of local government mayors |
| New Zealand ^{xxxviii} | 2020 | 70% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The data comes from two nationally representative surveys carried out online by Consumer NZ in February and March 2020 and June 2020 • Sample size: 1,516 • 70% of respondents were in favour; 15% were undecided; 15% were opposed • 72% of respondents said they would use a DRS if it was introduced • 58% of respondents said up to 20-cents/bottle would be enough to encourage them to return containers • 40% of respondents would prefer to get their refund in cash and 21% would prefer direct payment to their bank account • For 80% of respondents, convenient drop-off points were the most important factor to a DRS’s success • 67% said it needed to be easy to understand which containers were covered, |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|---|------|--|---|
| | | | with most wanting all drinks containers (glass, metal, and plastic) included in the programme <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supermarkets were picked by 70% as the most convenient place to return containers. |
| Republic of the Maldives ^{xxxix} | 2018 | 80% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey conducted In October 2018 • Sample size: Over 100 people |
| Austria ^{xl} | 2020 | 81% in favour of introducing a deposit on PET bottles 76% in favour of introducing a deposit on cans 84% in favour of introducing a deposit on glass bottles | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online interviews conducted between July 30-August 5, 2020 by Research Affairs • Sample size: 1,000 |
| Austria ^{xli} | 2020 | 83% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted by YouGov for Changing Markets Foundation February 4-11, 2020 • Sample size: 1,000 |
| Austria ^{xlii} | 2021 | 87% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted February 8-15, 2021 for Greenpeace Austria by Marketagent • Sample size: 500 • Margin of error: 4.3% • The poll also found that 87.4% of respondents were in favour of expansion of refillables in retail. |
| Belgium ^{xliii} | 2011 | 62% in favour of | |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|----------------------------|------|--|--|
| | | introducing legislation | |
| Belgium ^{xliv} | 2015 | 80% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The news paper ‘Het Belang van Limburg’ did a poll among 1,000 people living in Limburg (a province in Flanders). 80% of respondents supported the introduction of deposits on bottles and cans to fight litter. |
| Belgium ^{xlv} | 2017 | 66% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The consumer organisation Test-Achats / Test-Aankoop did a poll among 1,150 of its members (both Dutch and French speaking). 66% of them supported the introduction of deposits on bottles and cans. |
| Belgium ^{xlvi} | 2018 | 82% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poll conducted May 30-June 7, 2018 Sample size: 5,134 |
| Belgium ^{xlvii} | 2021 | 88% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Online poll conducted April 10-19, 2021 by Ipsos België Sample size: 6,742 |
| Bulgaria ^{xlviii} | | 62% in favour of introducing legislation | |
| England ^{xlix} | 2021 | 77% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Online survey conducted by YouGov 25-26 May 2021, commissioned by the Marine Conservation Society (MCS) Sample size: 2,076 adults living in Great Britain, of which 1,794 were based in England and 102 in Wales. The survey found that the public are supportive of a DRS including not just plastic bottles (77%), but also glass (75%), cans (67%), and cartons (57%) |
| France ⁱ | 2019 | 90% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poll conducted by Ifop for “Agir pour l’environnement” from March 20-22, 2019 via self-administered online questionnaire Sample size: 1,004 55% strongly in favour of introducing a DRS on glass bottles; 35% partly in favour |
| France ⁱⁱ | 2019 | 89% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Online poll conducted September 17-20, 2019 by Ipsos Sample size: 2,138 57% “strongly in favour” and 32% “mostly in favour” |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|------------------------|------|---|---|
| France ⁱⁱⁱ | 2019 | 84% of Millennials in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Study carried out November 22-27, 2019 by the Oceans without Plastic and the Tara Ocean Foundation, in partnership with the Harris Interactive Institute • Sample size: 1,044 people between the age of 18 and 35 (“Millennials”) • Margin of error: ±1.8% at a 95% confidence level • 50% “strongly in favour and 34% “mostly in favour” |
| France ⁱⁱⁱ | 2019 | 88% in favour of introducing deposit legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted by Ifop via self-administered online questionnaires from November 28-29, 2019 • Sample size: 1,004 • Margin of error: ±1.4% at a 95% confidence level • 57% “strongly in support” and 31% “mostly in support” |
| Hungary | 2013 | 66% in favour of introducing legislation | |
| Ireland ^{liv} | 2013 | 89.4% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted April 20-May 10, 2013 via paper and online surveys • Sample size: 1,426 • Only 6.1% were opposed and 4.5% gave a conditional approval |
| Ireland ^{lv} | 2020 | 88% in favour of introducing an “all-in” DRS model | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted October 1-5, 2020 by RedC and commissioned by Voice Ireland • Sample size: 1,006 • 66% of respondents “strongly agree” that Ireland’s DRS should include as wide a scope as possible, while 22% “somewhat agree” • 78% said they supported the introduction of a variable deposit fee, where consumers pay a deposit that varies based on the size and material of the container • Opinion was relatively consistent across the age ranges surveyed, with 90% of 25–34-year-olds calling for a DRS that includes as many drinks containers as possible |
| Italy ^{lvi} | 2022 | 83% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted in February 2022 via interviews • Sample size: 1,005 (people aged 18-65 living in Italy) |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|-------------------------|------|---|---|
| Latvia ^{lvii} | 2021 | 84% believe a DRS for drinks packaging is necessary (| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted in February 2021 by SKDS • Sample size: 1,017 • |
| Poland ^{lviii} | 2018 | 94% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted by Kantar and commissioned by Wyborcza (daily newspaper in Poland) • Sample size: 1,000 • 74% answered “definitely yes” to the question of whether they would support the introduction of a DRS, while another 20% replied “rather yes” |
| Poland ^{lix} | 2020 | 95% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey by IBRiS • 95% supported the idea, with 86% of respondents declaring they would like such a system as soon as possible • When asked about the size of deposits to encourage bottle returns, 46% said they should be no higher than 0.3 zloty (7 euro cents) and only 3% would have accepted a rate higher than 1.5 zloty (33 euro cents) |
| Portugal ^{lx} | 2020 | 91.6% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online questionnaire carried out in late 2020 • Sample size: 1,004 • 86.5% agree that the system should include all types of bottles and cans, regardless of material type • 90% of poll participants stated that they recycling habits would change with the introduction of a DRS and that they would like it to be up and running as soon as possible |
| Romania ^{lxi} | 2020 | 96% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted August 17-24, 2020 via computer-assisted telephone interviews • Sample size: 820 (people living in Romania 18+ years old) • Margin of error: ±13.4% at a 95% confidence level |
| Romania ^{lxii} | 2020 | 90% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted in September 2020 by the Beverage Producers Alliance through Kantar Romania |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|--------------------------|------|--|---|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample size: 1,425 people aged 18-65 who had bought at least one of the beverages of interest (juice, beer, bottled water, bottled wine or spirits) in the month prior to the study (802 people were interviewed by the CATI method, at national level, and 623 people from the urban area by the CAWI method) • 87% of respondents would be willing to return through automatic devices • 83% would return the packaging to collection centres at convenience stores, and 81% to supermarkets and hypermarkets |
| Spain ^{lxiii} | 2013 | 86% in favour of introducing deposit legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample size: 5,175 |
| Spain ^{lxiv} | 2021 | 85% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey conducted by LinQ Market Research Spain • Sample size: 1,000 • 84.8% of respondents say DRS is a good idea • 58.3% of respondents gave a score of 10 to the idea of returning cans, bottles, and cartons to the store, and 90% want the DRS to include glass |
| Scotland ^{lxv} | 2015 | 78.8% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online poll conducted February 12-17, 2015 • Sample size: 1,011 • Margin of error: ±3.1% at the 95% confidence level • 39.1% “strongly support” and 35.9% “somewhat support” |
| Scotland ^{lxvi} | 2019 | 77% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online poll conducted July 16-18, 2019 • Sample size: 1,019 • 46% “strongly support” and 31% “tend to support” |
| Wales ^{lxvii} | 2015 | 60% in favour of producer responsibility approach to drinks-related litter; out of them 81% in favour of | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey carried out during September 2015; sent out over social media to the general public • Although this methodology can only provide a snapshot of data and responses are somewhat subjective, results were largely consistent with few anomalies |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|-------------------------|------|---|--|
| | | introducing deposit legislation | |
| Wales ^{lxviii} | 2019 | 74% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The research consisted of two online panel surveys: one with 1,453 adults aged 16+ and one with 372 children aged 11-15. • Survey was conducted online in March 2019 using Kantar’s online access panel Lightspeed as the main sample source • 39% “strongly support” and 35% “support” • Surveyed children aged 11-15 were also in support of a DRS: 85% supported the introduction of the scheme while only 3% opposed it • An ‘all-in’ DRS, where all containers carry the same deposit, regardless of size, was considered by participants to be the most effective. |
| Wales ^{lxix} | 2021 | 72% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted 25-26 May 2021 by YouGov and commissioned by the Marine Conservation Society (MCS) • Sample size: 2,076 adults living in Great Britain (1,794 based in England, 102 based in Wales) • The survey found that the public are supportive of a DRS including not just plastic bottles (76%), but also glass (75%), cans (63%), and cartons (58%) |
| UK ^{lxx} | 2008 | 82% in favour of introducing 5 pence deposit 80% in favour of introducing 10 pence deposit | |
| UK | 2010 | 89% in favour of introducing legislation | |
| UK ^{lxxi} | 2017 | 63% in favour of introducing legislation | |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|----------------------|------|---|--|
| UK ^{lxxii} | 2017 | 73% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted by YouGov on behalf of the Marine Conservation Society between August 7-8, 2017 • Sample size: 2,137 adults living in Great Britain (1,844 were based in England, 106 based in Wales). • 40% strongly support and 33% support introducing a DRS across the UK for single-use drinks bottles and cans • Most support comes from those aged over 45 years |
| UK ^{lxxiii} | 2018 | 74% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted April 3, 2018 • Sample size: 1,002 • The survey also reveals what people do with their used empty plastic bottles when they are away from home (AfH). Of those that use plastic bottles AfH, 42% say they are likely to keep their bottle and reuse it, 32% say they will go out of their way to find a recycling bin, and 26% say they will just throw it in the nearest bin. |
| UK ^{lxxiv} | 2018 | <p>72% of people in England in favour of introducing legislation for containers of all sizes</p> <p>71% of people in Wales in favour of introducing legislation for containers of all sizes</p> <p>80% of people in Scotland in favour of introducing legislation</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted October 18-19, 2018 by YouGov for the Marine Conservation Society • Sample size: 2,081 adults living in Great Britain (1,797 based in England, 104 based in Wales). |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|-----------------------------|------|--|---|
| | | for containers of all sizes | |
| UK ^{lxxv} | 2019 | 72% in favour of introducing deposit legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted by YouGov on behalf of the Campaign to Protect Rural England, between June 9-11, 2019 • Sample size: 3,386 • 41% “strongly support”; 31% “tend to support” |
| UK ^{lxxvi} | 2020 | 84% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted May 29-31, 2020 by Populus • Sample size: 2,087 adults living in the UK • 84% agreed that all drinks containers should be included in the proposed DRS, up from 69% in May 2019 • Almost 4/5 support a variable deposit |
| UK ^{lxxvii} | 2021 | 86.3% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey running from mid-January 2021 to the end of February 2021 • Sample size: 138 • 86.3% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that a DRS is a good idea and 90.3% either agreed or strongly agreed that it would benefit the environment • 69.4% of respondents would like to see the DRS extended beyond drinks containers to include other types of plastic waste |
| Slovakia ^{lxxviii} | 2019 | 86% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted in January 2019 by the AKO polling agency for the Slovak Environment Inspection • Sample size: 1,030 people living in Slovakia • More than 86% support introducing a DRS for PET bottles and cans (only 10% oppose this proposal). • 96% of respondents don’t mind paying a deposit while buying a drink that will be returned to them when they bring PET bottles or cans back to a shop. • Asked about whether the deposits will influence their waste separation habits, 77% of respondents said they will keep separating plastics as they did |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|----------------------------------|---------|--|---|
| | | | until now, while 3% of respondents admitted they would limit separation of plastics. |
| Indiana, USA ^{lxxxix} | 2012 | 73% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll managed by Ball State University Bowen Center using Princeton Survey Research Associates • Sample size: 600 • Tested seven possible outcomes associated with a refundable deposit programme – very strong support for all (78% to 87%) • 67.7% agreed that a refundable deposit is not a tax |
| Tennessee, USA ^{lxxx} | 2008 | 80.4% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted March 12-20, 2008 via random telephone interviews • Sample size: 777 (only includes registered voters) • Margin of error: ± 3.5% at the 95% confidence level • 44.4% “strongly support” and 36% “support” |
| Tennessee, USA | 2009 | 83.2% in favour of introducing legislation | |
| Texas, USA ^{lxxxix} | 2011 | 71% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted January 18-20, 2011 via telephone interviews • Sample size: 501 • Margin of error: ±4.4% at a 95% confidence level |
| USA (National) ^{lxxxii} | 2019-20 | 75% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey conducted in the fall and winter of 2019-2020 by Keep America Beautiful as part of its 2020 National Litter Study • Sample size: 1,100+ • Respondents were asked about two types of policies targeted at increasing recycling. Half of respondents were asked whether they support a “refundable deposit” policy in their state and the other half were asked whether they support a “rebate incentive.” Across both questions, and across all respondents (nationally, in DRS states and in non-DRS states), over 75% of respondents supported the implementation of these policies within their state. |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|--------------------------------------|------|--|---|
| USA (National) ^{lxxxiii} | 2020 | 88% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey commissioned by the World Wildlife Fund and conducted by Corona Insights between December 3-11, 2020 • Sample size: 1,098 (probability-based sample from NORC AmeriSpeak® panel, stratified into 5 geographical regions) (71% of respondents did not live in a state with DRS) • Margin of error: ±4.11% for the overall results • There was little difference in reaction to “refund program” vs. “deposit program” wording (each version of the survey was shown to half of respondents): 88% of Americans support the creation of a “nationwide plastic beverage container <i>refund</i> program” (51% strongly support, 37% somewhat support), and 86% of Americans support the creation of a “nationwide plastic beverage container <i>deposit</i> program” |
| Ontario, Canada ^{lxxxiv} | 2006 | 75% in favour of introducing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted September 30-October 3, 2006 via random telephone interview • Sample size: 500 • Margin of error: The aggregate survey results are accurate ±4.4%, 19 times out of 20 • 75% “strongly support” and 12% “somewhat support” |

Table 2 Summary of Public Opinion Polls Showing Support for Expansion of DRS Legislation (2003-2022)

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|--|------|--|--|
| South Australia, Australia ^{lxxxv} | 2004 | 92% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted June 9-17, 2004 via interviews • Sample size: 803 • 78% “strongly support” and 13% “slightly support” |
| South Australia, Australia ^{lxxxvi} | 2019 | 84% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted January 13-February 22, 2019 • Sample size: 1,001 |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|-------------------------------------|------|---|---|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A total of 84% of the people responding to this survey thought that more types of containers should be included in the DRS, leaving 16% who did not think so. The most frequently mentioned items for inclusion were wine/spirit bottles, followed by single-use plastics, glass bottles and containers, and other containers that could be recycled. 4% of respondents thought some containers should be removed from the scheme, and 96% did not support the removal of any items. |
| The Netherlands ^{lxxxvii} | 2016 | 74% in favour of expanding legislation to small plastic bottles and cans | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey conducted by Radar, a Dutch consumer programme Sample size: 1,500 |
| The Netherlands ^{lxxxviii} | 2018 | 84% in favour of expanding legislation to small plastic bottles 75% in favour of expanding legislation to cans | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey conducted by Consumers' Association (Consumentenbond) Sample size: 2,000 |
| The Netherlands ^{lxxxix} | 2018 | 80% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey conducted by Radar Sample size: 40,000 |
| The Netherlands ^{xc} | 2018 | 79% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey conducted 8-21 February 2018 by GfK Research for the Recycling Netwerk Sample size: 24,979 Support was also strong among the governing parties: 85% for CU, 85% for D66, 83% for CDA, and 79% for VVD |
| The Netherlands ^{xci} | 2018 | 78% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Online survey conducted 7-9 February 2018 by EenVandaag |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|---------------------------------|------|---|---|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample size: 33,270 • Support was also strong among the governing parties: 89% for DKK, 83% for CDA, 85% for CU, and 72% for VVD • With a 10-cent deposit on plastic bottles, 77% of respondents would often or always return their bottle; with a 25-cent deposit, this increases to 89%. • With a 10-cent deposit on cans, 72% would make the effort to return them to a supermarket; with a 25-cent deposit, this increases to 83%. |
| The Netherlands ^{xcii} | 2021 | 90.1% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey conducted February 4, 2021 via online poll • Sample size: 7,130 • 90.1% agree with the government's decision to extend the deposit system to cans from 31 December 2022 |
| Iowa, USA ^{xciii} | 2018 | 27% in favour of expanding legislation to include juice and water bottles | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted January 28-31 by Selzer & Co. (for The Des Moines Register and Mediacom) via telephone interviews • Sample size: 801 • Margin of error: ±3.5% |
| Iowa, USA ^{xciv} | 2021 | 38% in favour of expanding legislation to include all single-use drinks containers and/or to raise deposit/handling fee | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey conducted in January 2021 by Kristi Cooper Consulting • Sample size: 600 • 21% said to keep the DRS and raise the deposit/handling fee; 17% said to keep and expand the DRS, and 8% said to eliminate it. |
| Iowa, USA ^{xcv} | 2022 | 70% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll commissioned by Cleaner Iowa and conducted February 4-8, 2022 by Selzer & Co. via telephone survey using landlines and mobile phones. • Sample size: 814 (active registered Iowa voters) • When asked whether the state should keep the law as is or expand it to add more types of eligible containers and more retail return locations, 61% said |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|------------------------------------|------|--|---|
| | | | <p>expand it. Even after respondents were given a list of arguments for and against the DRS, the percentage of people when asked again if the programme should be expanded increased from 61% to 70%.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> lowans expressed strong levels of support in the myriad proposals to expand the DRS: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 86% favour adding places to return empty containers 72% favour increasing fees on stores and redemption centres to cover programme costs 71% favour adding more types of containers 51% favor increasing the deposit from 5- to 10-cents |
| Iowa, USA ^{x cvi} | 2022 | <p>46.1% in favour of expanding legislation to widen programme scope</p> <p>33.5% in favour of increasing the deposit</p> <p>51.0% in favour of <i>either</i> increasing the deposit <i>or</i> expanding the scope</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Iowa Policy and Opinion Lab Poll carried out between February 10-25, 2022 Poll designed by University of Iowa faculty students Sample size: 883 Margin of error: $\pm 3.4\%$ 46.1% supported expanding the types of containers covered 33.5% supported increasing the deposit Taken together, 26.1% of respondents supported increasing deposits and expanding the scope In total, 51.0% of respondents indicated a preference for increasing at least one component (deposit or scope) |
| Connecticut, USA ^{x cvii} | 2020 | 81% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey conducted by telephone interviews among both landline and cell phone respondents from January 6-9, 2020 Sample size: 500 Margin of error: $\pm 4.4\%$ at the 95% confidence level 64% “strongly support” and 17% “somewhat support” |
| Connecticut, | 2021 | 83% in favour of | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey conducted by the Citizens Campaign for the Environment (CCE) over |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|------|--|---|
| USA ^{xcviii} | | increasing the deposit 90% in favour of expanding legislation to include more drink types | several days from April to June 2021 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Results are the combination of in-person surveys conducted in Bridgeport, Hartford, New Britain, and New Haven, Connecticut, as well as online surveys (SurveyMonkey) distributed via CCE's e-mail and social media networks. Sample size: 209 92% of respondents supported increasing public access to convenient recycling options for bottles and cans |
| Michigan, USA ^{xcix} | 2013 | 80.5% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample size: 33 |
| Michigan, USA ^c | 2019 | 78.2% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poll conducted April 9-13, 2019 by Marketing Resource Group Sample size: 600 Margin of error: ±4.0% 59.0% "strongly favour" and 19.2% "somewhat favour" expanding the deposit law to offer a deposit on other drinks containers such as plastic water bottles |
| Massachusetts, USA ^{ci} | 2003 | 84% in favour of expanding deposit legislation | |
| Massachusetts, USA ^{cii} | 2011 | 77% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poll conducted January 5-8, 2011 via telephone interviews Sample size: 400 53% "strongly support" and 24% "somewhat support" |
| New York, USA ^{ciii} | 2004 | 70% in favour of expanding legislation | |
| Vermont, USA ^{civ} | 2010 | 86% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poll conducted November 15-17, 2010 via telephone interviews Sample size: 400 Margin of error: ±4.9% at the level of 95% confidence 93% thought Vermont's current DRS programme was a 'good idea' (75% |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|--------------------------------|------|---|---|
| | | | strongly agreed) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 61% strongly favoured expansion |
| Vermont, USA ^{cv} | 2013 | 80% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Annual survey conducted by Senator Bill Doyle Sample size: 139 |
| Vermont, USA ^{cvi} | 2021 | 83% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> VPIRG poll conducted via telephone interviews among voters from March 11-14, 2021 Sample size: 400 Margin of error: ±4.9% at the 95% confidence level Support for expanding the DRS to include more types of containers such as sports drinks, water bottles, and wine bottles: 64% strongly support, 19% somewhat support |
| Ontario, Canada ^{cvi} | 2017 | 91% in favour of expanding legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Online survey conducted March 4-7, 2017 Sample size: 800 Margin of error: ±3.5%, 19 times out of 20 69% “strongly support” and 23% “somewhat support” Most common explanations for support of expanding the DRS: promotes recycling (26%), reducing trash (25%), and rewarding returning bottles (24%) |
| Quebec, Canada ^{cvi} | 2016 | 88% in favour of expanding legislation to include water bottles 91% in favour of expanding legislation to include wine bottles | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sample size: 1,234 93% totally or somewhat agree that the Quebec government should modernise the DRS 63% said that increasing the deposit from 5- to 10-cents would make them always return their containers; 22% said it would make them return them more often; and 14% said it would not change their habits 84% of respondents said that extending the deposit to water bottles would result in them always or often bringing back plastic water bottles 89% of respondents said that extending the deposit to wine bottles would result in them always or often bringing back their wine bottles |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|-------------------------------|------|---|---|
| Quebec, Canada ^{cix} | 2017 | 86% in favour of expanding legislation to include water bottles 91% in favour of expanding legislation to include wine bottles | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted June 29-July 1, 2017 • Sample size: 1,065 • Margin of error: ±3.9% at the 95% confidence level • 92% totally or somewhat agree that the Quebec government should modernise the DRS |

Table 3 Summary of Public Opinion Polls Showing Support for Existing DRS Legislation (2003-2022)

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|--|------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Northern Territory, Australia ^{cx} | 2012 | 88% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In order to quantify community attitudes towards the DRS, Boomerang Alliance undertook a face-to-face survey interviewing people both at the entry to major supermarkets and at collection depots in August 2012. Those surveyed were asked a series of questions including whether they were aware of the scheme, whether they thought the scheme was worthwhile, etc. Sample size: 300 88% of people responded that they felt the DRS was “worthwhile” |
| New South Wales, Australia ^{cxⁱ} | 2018 | 78% in favour of existing legislation | |
| New South Wales, Australia ^{cxⁱⁱ} | 2019 | 87% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kantar December 2019 Return and Earn consumer research results |
| New South Wales, Australia ^{cxⁱⁱⁱ} | 2020 | 88% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kantar June 2020 Return and Earn consumer research results |
| South Australia, Australia ^{cx^{iv}} | 2012 | 98% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Poll conducted via computer aided telephone interviewing from September 10-16, 2012 Sample size: 800 93% “strongly support” and 5% “slightly support” |
| Australian Capital Territory, Australia ^{cx^v} | 2019 | 82% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Survey conducted by Instinct & Reason in February 2019 |
| Australian Capital Territory, Australia ^{cx^{vi}} | 2020 | 83% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> According to the ACT CDS 2019-20 Annual Statutory Report, support for the scheme rose from 71% in August 2019 to 83% in April 2020. Additionally, 51% stated they believe it is the best way to reduce litter and increase recycling of |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|---|------|---|--|
| | | | containers. |
| Australian Capital Territory, Australia ^{cxvii} | 2020 | 86% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Survey conducted by Piazza Research for the ACT Government via telephone interview using a computer assisted telephone-interviewing platform • Sample size: 401 • Margin of error: ±5% • 50% “strongly in favour” and 36% “in favour” |
| Australian Capital Territory, Australia ^{cxviii} | 2021 | 81% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Instinct & Reason June 2021 consumer research findings |
| Denmark ^{cxix} | 2017 | 92% in favour of existing legislation | |
| Denmark ^{cxx} | 2018 | 95% in favour of existing legislation | |
| Denmark ^{cxxi} | 2021 | 91% in favour of existing legislation | |
| Germany ^{cxxii} | 2016 | 83% in favour of existing deposit legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted March 15-18, 2016 • Sample size: 1,310 |
| Iceland ^{cxxiii} | 2018 | 88% in favour of existing legislation | |
| Lithuania | 2016 | 78.2% in favour of existing legislation | |
| Lithuania ^{cxxiv} | 2018 | 97% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted January 15-23, 2018 via standardised direct interview and questionnaire • Sample size: 1,004 • 97% of respondents felt that the DRS is necessary: 64% believed that such a system is “highly” necessary, and 33% that it is “rather” necessary. |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Lithuania ^{cxxv} | 2019 | 98% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted October 19-29, 2019 by Spinter Research via standardised direct interview and questionnaire • Sample size: 1,009 |
| Netherlands | 2006 | 78% in favour of existing legislation | |
| Iowa, USA ^{cxxvi} | 2017 | 88% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted February 23-26, 2017 • Sample size: 700 • 88% say the bottle bill has been good for the state, while nearly 4/5 support keeping the law in some form; if anything, voters are open to expansion. • 89% agree that the combination of the DRS and kerbside recycling programmes is the best way to decrease the amount of materials going into landfills, including 54% who strongly agree • 78% agree that there should be a national DRS • 81% agree that if the bottle bill were ended, people would not bother with recycling |
| Iowa, USA ^{cxxvii} | 2018 | 30% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted January 28-31 by Selzer & Co. (for The Des Moines Register and Mediacom) via telephone interviews • Sample size: 801 • Margin of error: ±3.5% |
| Iowa, USA ^{cxxviii} | 2021 | 54% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Independent survey conducted in January 2021 by Kristi Cooper Consulting • Sample size: 600 • 54% of respondents said to “keep” the existing DRS; 21% said to keep the DRS and raise the deposit/handling fee; 17% said to keep and expand the DRS, and 8% said to eliminate it. |
| Iowa, USA ^{cxxix} | 2022 | 84% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll commissioned by Cleaner Iowa and conducted February 4-8, 2022 by Selzer & Co. via telephone survey using landlines and mobile phones. |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|----------------------------------|------|--|---|
| | | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample size: 814 (active registered Iowa voters) • 84% of Iowans said the recycling law is good for the state, while just 12% said it was bad • Fewer than 1/5 Iowans said they want to abolish the programme • 19% said the state should keep the law as it is, and 61% said it should be expanded to add more types of containers and more locations where containers can be returned. |
| Iowa, USA ^{Cxxx} | 2022 | 29.8% in favour of keeping the types of containers covered the same 38.9% in favour of keeping the deposit at 5-cents | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Iowa Policy and Opinion Lab Poll carried out between February 10-25, 2022 • Sample size: 883 • Margin of error: ±3.4% • 29.8% were in support of keeping the types of containers covered the same • 38.9% prefer to keep the deposit at 5-cents |
| Hawaii, USA ^{Cxxxii} | 2008 | 60% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telephone survey conducted from February 15-March 19, 2008 • Sample size: 701 • Margin of error: ±3.6% at the 95% confidence level • 35% very supportive and 25% somewhat supportive |
| Michigan, USA ^{Cxxxiii} | 2019 | 94% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted April 9-13, 2019 by Marketing Resource Group • Sample size: 600 • Margin of error: ±4.0% • 83.2% “strongly support” and 11.0% “somewhat support” the existing DRS • 86.3% said it would be less convenient if they had to return their containers to a stand-alone recycling centre (as opposed to their local grocery stores, which is currently the case) |
| New York, | 2004 | 84% in favour of | |

| Jurisdiction | Year | Level of support | Notes |
|--------------------------------|------|---------------------------------------|--|
| USA ^{cxxxiii} | | existing legislation | |
| Oregon, USA ^{cxxxiv} | 2019 | 97% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Online survey conducted May 16-23, 2019 • Sample size: 600 • Margin of error: ±4.0% • 91% of Oregonians think the DRS is “good for the state” |
| Vermont, USA ^{cxxxv} | 2010 | 93% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poll conducted November 15-17, 2010 via telephone interviews • Sample size: 400 • Margin of error: ±4.9% at the 95% confidence level • 93% thought Vermont’s current DRS was a ‘good idea’ (75% strongly agreed) • 61% strongly favoured expansion |
| Vermont, USA ^{cxxxvi} | 2021 | 88% in favour of existing legislation | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • VPIRG poll conducted via telephone interviews from March 11-14, 2021 • Sample size: 400 • Margin of error: ±4.9% at the 95% confidence level • 65% “strongly support” and 23% “somewhat support” |

Endnotes

- ⁱNewspoll. May 2006. "Container Recycling – WA." Prepared for Robert Kelman, ECOS Corporation P/L. Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ⁱⁱNewspoll. February 2007. "WA Container Refund." Prepared for Robert Kelman, ECOS Corporation. Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ⁱⁱⁱNewspoll. April 2011. "Bottle & Can Recycling." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, 9 June 2021.
- ^{iv}Boomerang Alliance. 3 August 2012. "Media release: Newspoll results reveal overwhelming support for deposits on bottles and cans." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^vNewspoll. December 2012/January 2013. "Container Deposit Scheme." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{vi}Newspoll. October 2013. "Container Deposit Scheme." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{vii}Government of Western Australia Department of Water and Environmental Regulation. May 2018. "Western Australia Container Deposit Scheme: Consultation Summary." <https://dwer.wa.gov.au/sites/default/files/CDS_Consultation_Summary.pdf>
- ^{viii}Ashton-Graham, C. 2017. "Survey Report: Western Australian Households Views on Plastic Waste 2017." Report prepared for the Boomerang Alliance. <www.der.wa.gov.au/images/documents/our-work/consultation/Plastic_bag_ban/Attachment_1_Plastics_Survey_FINAL.pdf>
- ^{ix}Newspoll. February 2011. "NT Bottle & Can Recycling." Prepared for Robert Kelman. Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^xNewspoll. April 2011. "Bottle & Can Recycling." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xi}Boomerang Alliance. 3 August 2012. "Media release: Newspoll results reveal overwhelming support for deposits on bottles and cans." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xii}Newspoll. June 2013. "Environment and Recycling Study." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xiii}Newspoll. October 2013. "Container Deposit Scheme." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xiv}Newspoll polling results provided by Jeff Angel of Boomerang Alliance on May 17, 2017.
- ^{xv}O'Shannessy, M. OmniPoll. June 2018. "Container Deposit in Victoria." Prepared for Jeff Angel, Total Environment Centre.
- ^{xvi}Infrastructure Australia. April 2020. "Advice on Recycling and resource recovery infrastructure." <www.infrastructurevictoria.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Advice-on-recycling-and-resource-recovery-FINAL-REPORT.pdf>
- ^{xvii}Newspoll. April 2011. "Bottle & Can Recycling." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xviii}Boomerang Alliance. 3 August 2012. "Media release: Newspoll results reveal overwhelming support for deposits on bottles and cans." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xix}Newspoll. October 2013. "Container Deposit Scheme." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xx}Newspoll. June 2013. "Environment and Recycling Study." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxi}Needham, K. 25 January 2015. "Poll shows 90 per cent support for NSW Premier Mike Baird's recycling scheme." <www.smh.com.au/nsw/poll-shows-90-per-cent-support-for-nsw-premier-mike-bairds-recycling-scheme-20150123-12wvh5.html>
- ^{xxii}Newspoll. April 2011. "Bottle & Can Recycling." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxiii}Boomerang Alliance. 3 August 2012. "Media release: Newspoll results reveal overwhelming support for deposits on bottles and cans." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxiv}Newspoll. "June 2013. "Environment and Recycling Study." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxv}Newspoll. October 2013. "Container Deposit Scheme." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxvi}Newspoll polling results provided by Jeff Angel of Boomerang Alliance on May 17, 2017.
- ^{xxvii}Lawson, K. January 5, 2015. "Canberra likely to follow NSW into refunds for drinks containers." <www.canberratimes.com.au/act-news/canberra-likely-to-follow-nsw-into-refunds-for-drinks-containers-20150105-12i7m0.html>
- ^{xxviii}Boomerang Alliance. 3 August 2012. "Media release: Newspoll results reveal overwhelming support for deposits on bottles and cans." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxix}Newspoll. October 2013. "Container Deposit Scheme." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxx}Newspoll. July 2012. "Deposit Scheme." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxxi}Newspoll. October 2013. "Container Deposit Scheme." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.

- ^{xxxii}Newspoll. April 2011. "Bottle & Can Recycling." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxxiii}Boomerang Alliance. 19 June 2011. "Media release: Opinion poll shows Tasmanians overwhelming support deposits on cans and bottles." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxxiv}Newspoll. July 2012. "Deposit Scheme." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxxv}Newspoll. October 2013. "Container Deposit Scheme." Obtained through personal communication with Jeff Angel, Boomerang Alliance, 9 June 2021.
- ^{xxxvi}Waste Management Institute New Zealand (WasteMINZ). December 2017. "Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Container Deposit Scheme: Summary Report & FAQs." <http://www.wasteminz.org.nz/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Container-Deposit-Scheme-Summary-Report-Final.pdf>
- ^{xxxvii}New Zealand Ministry for the Environment. 4 May 2022. "Further information on the Container Return Scheme Proposal." <<https://environment.govt.nz/what-government-is-doing/areas-of-work/waste/container-return-scheme-reducing-waste-landfill/further-information-on-the-container-return-scheme/#what-a-container-return-scheme-is>>
- ^{xxxviii}Consumer New Zealand. 6 October 2020. "Majority support for container return scheme." <<https://www.consumer.org.nz/articles/majority-support-for-container-return-scheme>>
- ^{xxxix}UNDP. "Container Deposit Scheme: Pilot Project for UNDP Smart Cities Urban Innovation Challenge October 2018-May 2019." <https://zerowastemaldives.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/UNDP-UIC-Rahdhu-Report-Final.pdf>
- ^xResearch Affairs. 6 August 2020. "Aktuelle Studie: Einführung eines Pfandsystems auf Getränkepackungen." <<http://www.researchaffairs.at/>>
- ^{xi}Changing Markets Foundation and Global 2000. 2020. "Where do Austrians Stand on a Deposit Return Scheme? Public opinion poll in Austria." <<https://www.global2000.at/sites/global/files/Survey-depositreturn-2020.pdf>>
- ^{xii}Marketagent. February 2021. "Mehrweg | Pfand." <<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1HZbakolvdK1sieLF-cRgJoWlkQGf47FN/view>>
- ^{xiii}L'avenir. "Canettes." <www.lavenir.net/Polls/index.aspx?pageName=report&surveyid=703>
- ^{xiv}<http://www.hbvl.be/krant>
- ^{xv}Statiegeld: een oplossing voor zwerfvuil die 66% van de bevrageden ziet zitten. March 21, 2017. <www.test-aankoop.be/familie-privé/supermarkten/nieuws/reactie-statiegeld>
- ^{xvi}GfK. 14 June 2018. "Press release: 82% of Belgians want a deposit on plastic bottles and cans." <<https://www.gfk.com/insights/press-release/82-percent-of-belgians-want-a-deposit-on-plastic-bottles-and-cans/>>
- ^{xvii}Statiegeldalliantie. 30 April 2021. "9 op 10 Limburgers is voorstander: Statiegeld stilaan de populairste milieumaatregel ooit." <<https://statiegeldalliantie.org/2021/04/9-op-10-limburgers-is-voorstander-statiegeld-stilaan-de-populairste-milieumaatregel-ooit/>>
- ^{xviii}Grigorova, D. 2 February 2022. "Positive attitudes in Bulgaria towards introduction of deposit-return system in waste management." <https://bnr.bg/en/post/101595317/positive-attitudes-in-bulgaria-towards-introduction-of-deposit-return-system-in-waste-management>
- ^{xix}Riglen, V. 1 June 2021. "Public remains steadfast in their call for Deposit Return Schemes." Marine Conservation Society. <www.mcsuk.org/news/public-remains-steadfast-in-their-call-for-deposit-return-schemes/>
- ⁱIfop pour Agir pour l'environnement. March 2019. "Les Français et le plastique." <https://www.agirpourenvironnement.org/sites/default/files/communiqués_presses/190401%20-%20Sondage%20Plastique.pdf>
- ⁱⁱVacas, F. 20 September 2019. "Enquête France – Allemagne sur la consigne des emballages des boissons pour recyclage." <https://www.ipsos.com/sites/default/files/ct/news/documents/2019-09/rapport_ipsos_enquete_brf_sept19.pdf>
- ⁱⁱⁱLevy, J.D., Bartoli, P.-H., and Prunier, A. November 2019. "Les jeunes et les enjeux environnementaux." <https://oceans.taraexpeditions.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Rapport-Harris-Les-jeunes-face-aux-enjeux-environnementaux_Oceans-Sans-Plastiques-et-Fondation-Tara-Ocean.pdf>
- ⁱⁱⁱⁱIfop. November 2019. "Les Français et le recyclage des produits et emballages plastiques." Prepared for the WWF. <www.wwf.fr/sites/default/files/doc-2019-12/20191209_Sondage_Loi_anti_gaspillage_WWF_IFOP.pdf>
- ^{lv}Coastwatch Ireland. May 2013. "Tackling Drinks Container Litter on Irish shores – Opinion Poll Results." <<http://coastwatch.org/europe/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/CW-opinion-poll-drinks-containers.pdf>>
- ^{lv}"Majority support all drinks containers in deposit-return scheme." 20 October 2020. <<https://www.itv.com/news/2020-10-19/majority-support-all-drinks-containers-in-deposit-return-scheme>>
- ^{lvi}A Buon Rendere. "DRS: 83% of Italians support the introduction of a Deposit Return System on single-use beverage packaging." <<https://www.buonrendere.it/2022/03/06/italians-83-are-in-favour-of-introducing-a-deposit-return-system-on-single-use-beverage-packaging/>>
- ^{lvii}Sturitis, M. "Latvia's experience of DRS implementation." PowerPoint presentation. Presented 14 June 2021 at Reloop's *DRS New Kids on the Block* webinar.

- ^{lviii} Lazarczyk, G. 30 July 2019. Polacy chcą napojów w butelkach zwrotnych. 94 proc. Polaków popiera kaucję, 71 proc. deklaruje wycieczki do butelkomatów. <<https://wyborcza.biz/biznes/7,177151,25038964,przydrozny-row-to-nie-miejsce-dla-butelek-94-proc-polakow.html?disableRedirects=true>>
- ^{lix} 95% Polaków chce kaucji za opakowania po napojach. 8 WRZEŚNIA 2020. <<https://imch.pl/wiadomosci/95-polakow-chce-kaucji-za-opakowania-po-napojach/>>
- ^{lx} SCIAENA. 25 March 2021. "91.6% of the Portuguese want a generalized deposit return system for drinks." <<https://www.sciaena.org/en/446-91-6-of-portuguese-people-want-a-generalized-deposit-return-system-for-drinks>>
- ^{lxi} IRSOP Market Research & Consulting. August 2020. Survey conducted for the Circular Economy Coalition (CERC).
- ^{lxii} TheDiplomat. 21 July 2021. "9 out of 10 Romanians say that they will return plastic, glass or metal packaging after the introduction of the Warranty-Return System." <<https://www.thediplomat.ro/2021/07/21/9-out-of-10-romanians-say-that-they-will-return-plastic-glass-or-metal-packaging-after-the-introduction-of-the-warranty-return-system/>>
- ^{lxiii} "¿Estás a favor del retorno de envases de bebidas al comercio?" www.lavanguardia.com/participacion/encuestas/20131015/54392004094/retorno-envases-bebidas-comercio.html
- ^{lxiv} Retorna. 24 November 2021. "85% of people in Spain want to return glass and plastic bottles, cans and cartons to the store as soon as possible." <https://www-retorna-org.translate.goog/news/es_ES/2021/11/24/0001/el-85-de-las-personas-en-espana-quiere-devolver-botellas-de-vidrio-y-de-plastico-latas-y-briks-a-la-tienda-lo-antes-posible?_x_tr_sl=es&_x_tr_tl=en&_x_tr_hl=ca&_x_tr_pto=nui>
- ^{lxv} Survation. Scottish Attitudes Poll (February). <www.haveyougotthebottle.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/Survation-Poll-February-2015.pdf>
- ^{lxvi} Zero Waste Scotland. 1 September 2019. "Scots back recycling scheme that gives them a role in fighting climate emergency." <<https://www.zerowastescotland.org.uk/press-release/scots-back-recycling-scheme-gives-them-role-fighting-climate-emergency>>
- ^{lxvii} Keep Wales Tidy. 1 November 2015. "Drink Related Litter: A Report on the Survey Findings on the Subject of Drink Related Litter in Wales in September 2015." <www.keepwalestidy.org/login/kcfinder/upload/files/Drink%20Related%20Litter%20Report%202015.pdf>
- ^{lxviii} Fitzpatrick, A., McGee, A., Jouahri, S., and N. Roberts. 2019. "Wales Report: Consumer research to inform the design of an effective deposit return scheme." Cardiff: Welsh Government, GSR report number 62/2019. <<https://gov.wales/sites/default/files/statistics-and-research/2019-12/wales-report-consumer-research-inform-design-effective-deposit-return-scheme.pdf>>
- ^{lxix} Riglen, V. 1 June 2021. "Public remains steadfast in their call for Deposit Return Schemes." Marine Conservation Society. <www.mcsuk.org/news/public-remains-steadfast-in-their-call-for-deposit-return-schemes/>
- ^{lxx} "Bring back deposits on bottles, say public." 17 December 2008. <www.daelnet.co.uk/countrynews/country_news_17122008.cfm>
- ^{lxxi} Carrell, S. 22 February 2017. "Green campaigners welcome Coca-Cola U-turn on bottle and can recycling scheme." <www.theguardian.com/environment/2017/feb/22/green-campaigners-welcome-coca-cola-bottle-can-recycling-scheme-scotland>
- ^{lxxii} Marine Conservation Society. "Public Support for Deposit Return Systems across the UK." <www.mcsuk.org/media/cleanseas/Public%20support%20for%20Deposit%20Return%20Systems%20across%20the%20UK%20YG%20Approved.pdf>
- ^{lxxiii} "Survey: 74% of UK Public Support Bottle and Can Return Deposit Scheme." 30 April 2018. <<https://packagingeurope.com/survey-74-of-uk-public-support-bottle-and-can-return-deposit/>>
- ^{lxxiv} Personal communication with Laura Foster, Marine Conservation Society, 8 June 2021.
- ^{lxxv} "Public supports Michael Gove's call for deposits on drinks cans and bottles." 26 July 2019. <<https://www.cpre.org.uk/media-centre/latest-news-releases/item/5161-public-supports-michael-gove-s-call-for-deposits-on-drinks-cans-and-bottles>>
- ^{lxxvi} "New Populus poll sees UK public supporting an 'all-in' deposit return scheme." 19 June 2020. <<https://www.teaandcoffee.net/news/24747/new-populus-poll-sees-uk-public-supporting-an-all-in-deposit-return-scheme/>>
- ^{lxxvii} Dempster, M., Orr, K., & Berry, E. 2021. "Recycling and Deposit Return Schemes: A Survey of Consumers." Queen's University Belfast. <https://pureadmin.qub.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/236808492/Recycling_Survey_Results_Report.pdf>
- ^{lxxviii} "Poll: 86 Percent of Slovaks Support Idea of PET Bottle and Can Deposits." <<http://www.tasr.sk/tasr-clanok/TASR:20190222TBB00037>>
- ^{lxxix} Environmental Quality Service Council. August 28, 2013. "Buried Treasure in Indiana Landfills." <www.in.gov/legislative/interim/committee/minutes/EQSCG8S.pdf>
- ^{lxxx} Results of the March 2008 Recycling Poll: Responses to Question 3. <www.bottlebill.org/assets/pdfs/campaigns/tennessee/2008-UTpoll.pdf>
- ^{lxxxi} Baselice & Associates Inc. 2011. "Texas Voters Support Beverage Container Recycling Program." <www.texasbottlebill.com/P%20D%20F%20holder/Baselice%20Assc%20study%20Texas%20voters%20support%20bev%20container%20deposit%20recycling.pdf>
- ^{lxxxii} Keep America Beautiful. May 2021. "2020 National Litter Study: Summary Report." <https://kab.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Litter-Study-Summary-Report-May-2021_final_05172021.pdf>
- ^{lxxxiii} Corona Insights. 2020. "Public Opinion Surrounding Plastic Consumption and Waste Management of Consumer Packaging." A report to World Wildlife Fund. <https://c402277.ssl.cf1.rackcdn.com/publications/1457/files/original/CI_Public_Opinion_Research_to_WWF_2021_01_26.pdf?1616455951>

- ^{lxxxiv} SES/Osprey Media Poll. November 13, 2006. "Ontario Perceptions on LCBO Deposit/Return System." <www.nanosresearch.com/sites/default/files/POLONT-F06-T201.pdf>
- ^{lxxxv} McGregor Tan Research. "Community awareness and acceptance of Container Deposit Legislation." Prepared for the Environment Protection Authority. <www.epa.sa.gov.au/files/4771405_cdl_survey.pdf>
- ^{lxxxvi} "Improving South Australia's Recycling Makes Cents: Scoping Paper Consultation Summary Report." August 2019. Prepared for the South Australian Environment Protection Authority by The Planning Exchange. <<https://s3-ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/assets.yoursay.sa.gov.au/production/2019/12/12/00/04/12/9b4d0781-1480-4653-9755-f34e4237a136/EPA%20Consultation%20Summary%20Report%20Final.pdf>>
- ^{lxxxvii} Drie kwart wil statiegeld op flesjes en blikjes. October 11, 2016. <<https://radar.avrotros.nl/testpanel/uitslagen/detail/drie-kwart-wil-statiegeld-op-flesjes-en-blikjes/>>
- ^{lxxxviii} Van der Staak, B. 9 January 2018. "Meerderheid consumenten voor uitbreiding statiegeldsysteem." <www.consumentenbond.nl/nieuws/2018/meerderheid-consumenten-voor-uitbreiding-statiegeld-systeem>
- ^{lxxxix} Radar. 19 February 2018. "80% voor statiegeld op halve-literflesjes en blikje." <<https://radar.avrotros.nl/testpanel/uitslagen/item/80-voor-statiegeld-op-halve-literflesjes-en-blikjes/>>
- ^{xc} Recycling Netwerk. 9 March 2018. "Meerderheid kiezers van de 4 regeringspartijen is voor uitbreiding statiegeld." <<https://recyclingnetwerk.org/2018/03/09/meerderheid-kiezers-van-de-4-regeringspartijen-voor-uitbreiding-statiegeld/>>
- ^{xc1} Lubbe, R. 10 March 2018. "Meerderheid voor statiefeld op kleine flesjes en blikjes." <<https://eenvandaag.avrotros.nl/panels/opiniepanel/alle-uitslagen/item/meerderheid-voor-statiegeld-op-kleine-flesjes-en-blikjes/>>
- ^{xcii} "Lopend Vuur: Terecht date r statiegeld op blikjes komt." 4 February 2021. <<https://www.rtvnoord.nl/nieuws/786358/Lopend-Vuur-Terecht-dat-er-statiegeld-op-blikjes-komt>>
- ^{xciii} Petrowski, W. February 7, 2018. "Iowa Poll: Most want bottle bill kept or expanded." The Des Moines Register. <<https://www.desmoinesregister.com/story/news/politics/iowa-poll/2018/02/08/iowa-bottle-bill-poll-shows-most-people-want-keep-expand/302143002/>>
- ^{xciv} "Citizens Campaign for the Environment 2021 CT Bottle Bill Public Opinion Survey." Obtained via personal communication with Kristi Cooper of Kristi Cooper Consulting. 8 February 2021.
- ^{xcv} Murphy, E. 8 March 2022. "Keep the bottle bill: 84% of Iowans support it, poll says." <<https://www.thegazette.com/state-government/keep-the-bottle-bill-84-of-iowans-support-it-poll-says/>>
- ^{xcvi} Iowa Policy and Opinion Lap. 4 March 2022. "Iowa Policy and Opinion Lap Poll: Majority of Iowans Support Change to Bottle Deposit Law." <<https://ipol.lab.uiowa.edu/sites/ipol.lab.uiowa.edu/files/2022-03/iowa%20Policy%20and%20Opinion%20Lab%20Poll%20%28February%202022%29%20-%20Majority%20of%20Iowans%20Support%20Change%20to%20Bottle%20Deposit%20Law.pdf>>
- ^{xcvii} Greenberg Quinlan Rosner Research poll of Connecticut residents conducted in January 2019. As cited in <https://www.ctlcv.org/uploads/6/2/0/1/6201942/bottle_bill_1037__3-18-21.pdf>
- ^{xcviii} Personal communication with Louis Rosado Burch, Connecticut Program Director, Citizens Campaign for the Environment, 8 June 2021.
- ^{xcix} Heinze, K. 15 October 2013. "Guest column: Possible changes to Michigan's bottle bill? We all need to pay attention." <www.mlive.com/environment/index.ssf/2013/10/possible_changes_to_michigans.html>
- ^c "MRG Michigan Poll – Spring 2019," Marketing Resource Group. May 2019.
- ^{ci} Center for Policy Alternatives, 2003 Policy Summary, Environment/Bottle Bill.
- ^{cii} Massachusetts League of Environmental Voters. Statewide Ombibus Poll Results. January 10, 2011. <<https://pincdn.s3.amazonaws.com/assets/402a5bc275163acd2bca52a1c780d215/MLEV-Bottle-Bill-Crosstabs.pdf>>
- ^{ciii} Public Policy Associates, Inc. (PPA). February 2004. "Survey of New York Registered Voters: Attitudes Toward New York's Bottle Bill and Proposed Reforms." <www.nypirg.org/pubs/enviro/solidwaste/bottlebill/SurveyResults_Final.pdf>
- ^{civ} Grove Insight. November 2010. "Vermonters' Views of the Bottle Bill and Plans to Expand or Repeal It." <www.vpirg.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/BB-Poll-Excerpt.pdf>
- ^{cv} Legislative Report 3/14/2013 – Doyle Poll Results. <www.mikeyantachka.com/2013/03/legislative-report-3142013-doyle-poll.html>
- ^{cvi} Burns, P. 16 March 2021. "Majority of Vermonters Support Bottle Bill." Vermont Public Interest Research Group (VPIRG). <<https://www.vpirg.org/issues/zero-waste/majority-of-vermonters-support-bottle-bill/>>
- ^{cvi} Gandalf Group. March 2017. "Environmental Defence: Deposit Return Program Survey." Provided by Ashley Wallis, Environmental Defence Canada on May 19, 2017.
- ^{cvi} SOM.ca. December 2016. "Étude sur la modernisation du système de consigne au Québec."
- ^{cix} SOM.ca. July 2017. "Mise à jour de l'étude sur la modernisation du système de consigne au Québec." <<http://www.fcgged.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Sondage-SOM-2017-consigne-.pdf>>
- ^{cx} Boomerang Alliance. February 2013. "Independent Review: The Northern Territory Container Deposit Scheme." <www.bottlebill.org/assets/pdfs/campaigns/australia/NT%20CDS%20Report%20Final_180213.pdf>
- ^{cx} Return and Earn. "Return and Earn Annual Statutory Report 2017-18." <<https://www.parliament.nsw.gov.au/tp/files/77263/2017-18%20Return%20and%20Earn%20Annual%20Report.pdf>>

- ^{cxii} Return and Earn. "Return and Earn: Annual Statutory Report 2019-20." <https://www.exchangeforchange.com.au/_cache_bd08/content/653197000013801.pdf>
- ^{cxiii} Exchange for Change. "NSW Scheme Performance: Consumers." <<https://www.exchangeforchange.com.au/>>
- ^{cxiv} Harrison Research. September 2012. "CDL Awareness & Support Research Report." Prepared for the South Australia Environment Protection Authority. <http://report.epa.sa.gov.au/files/4771391_full_cdlrpt.pdf>
- ^{cxv} February 2019 survey of ACT residents conducted by Instinct and Reason, as cited in the ACT Container Deposit Scheme Annual Statutory Report 2018-19. <https://www.parliament.act.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0010/1455904/Container-Deposit-Scheme-Annual-Report-2018-19.PDF>
- ^{cxvi} ACT Government. "ACT Container Deposit Scheme Annual Statutory Report 2019-20." <<https://www.exchangeforchange.com.au/who-we-are/publications-and-reports.html>>
- ^{cxvii} Piazza Research. "TCCS FOI 20-048." <https://www.cityservices.act.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0007/1632679/20-048-Online-Publishing-Package_Redacted.pdf>
- ^{cxviii} ACT Government. "ACT Container Deposit Scheme 20/21 Annual Statutory Report." <<https://www.exchangeforchange.com.au/who-we-are/publications-and-reports.html>>
- ^{cxix} Dansk Retursystem. 2017. "Årsrapport 2017." <<https://danskretursystem.dk/app/uploads/2020/05/Aarsrapport-Dansk-retursystem-2017.pdf>>
- ^{cxx} Dansk Retursystem. 2018. "Årsrapport 2018." <<https://danskretursystem.dk/app/uploads/2020/05/A%CC%8Aarsrapport-for-2018.pdf>>
- ^{cxxi} Dansk Retursystem. 2021. "Årsrapport 2021." <<https://danskretursystem.dk/app/uploads/2022/03/Aarsrapport-2021.pdf>>
- ^{cxixii} "Dosenpfand kommt mittlerweile gut an." <<https://yougov.de/news/2016/04/02/dosenpfand-kommt-mittlerweile-gut/>>
- ^{cxixiii} Personal communication with Helgi Lárússon, Managing Director at EVHF, 12 April 2021.
- ^{cxixiv} ESOMAR and the Independent Research Institutes Network. January 2018. "Opinion Survey of the Country Residents on the Deposit System for Single-Use Packaging." <https://minzp.sk/files/aktuality/lithuania-survey-2018_01_en_v1.pdf>
- ^{cxixv} Spinter Research. October 2020. "Šalies Gyventojų Nuomonės Tyrimas Dėl Vienkartinių Pakuočių Užtato Sistemų." PowerPoint presentation provided to Reloop team by Gintaras Varnas, 10 April 2021.
- ^{cxixvi} "Voter Polling Results on Iowa's Bottle Bill." <<https://assets.documentcloud.org/documents/3514320/2017-Iowa-Bottle-Bill-Poll-Results.pdf>>
- ^{cxixvii} Petrowski, W. February 7, 2018. "Iowa Poll: Most want bottle bill kept or expanded." The Des Moines Register. <<https://www.desmoinesregister.com/story/news/politics/iowa-poll/2018/02/08/iowa-bottle-bill-poll-shows-most-people-want-keep-expand/302143002/>>
- ^{cxixviii} Personal communication with Kristi Cooper of Kristi Cooper Consulting. 8 February 2021.
- ^{cxixix} Murphy, E. 8 March 2022. "Keep the bottle bill: 84% of Iowans support it, poll says." <<https://www.thegazette.com/state-government/keep-the-bottle-bill-84-of-iowans-support-it-poll-says/>>
- ^{cxixxx} Iowa Policy and Opinion Lap. 4 March 2022. "Iowa Policy and Opinion Lap Poll: Majority of Iowans Support Change to Bottle Deposit Law." <<https://ipol.lab.uiowa.edu/sites/ipol.lab.uiowa.edu/files/2022-03/iowa%20Policy%20and%20Opinion%20Lab%20Poll%20%28February%202022%29%20%20Majority%20of%20Iowans%20Support%20Change%20to%20Bottle%20Deposit%20Law.pdf>>
- ^{cxixxi} Ward Research Incorporation. April 2008. "Tracking Participation In and Attitudes Towards the HI-5 Deposit Beverage Container Recycling Program: A Telephone Survey among Hawaii Residents." Prepared for the State of Hawaii Department of Health and Hastings & Pleadwell. <https://health.hawaii.gov/hi5/files/2013/05/HI5_2008SurveyReport.pdf>
- ^{cxixxii} "MRG Michigan Poll – Spring 2019," Marketing Resource Group. May 2019.
- ^{cxixxiii} Public Policy Associates, Inc. (PPA). February 2004. "Survey of New York Registered Voters: Attitudes Toward New York's Bottle Bill and Proposed Reforms." <www.nypirg.org/pubs/enviro/solidwaste/bottlebill/SurveyResults_Final.pdf>
- ^{cxixxiv} DHM Research. May 2019. "OBRC Awareness & Perception Research." PowerPoint presentation obtained from via personal communication with Jules Bailey, 26 February 2021.
- ^{cxixxv} Grove Insight. November 2010. "Vermonters' Views of the Bottle Bill and Plans to Expand or Repeal It." <www.vpirg.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/BB-Poll-Excerpt.pdf>
- ^{cxixxvi} Burns, P. 16 March 2021. "Majority of Vermonters Support Bottle Bill." Vermont Public Interest Research Group (VPIRG). <<https://www.vpirg.org/issues/zero-waste/majority-of-vermonters-support-bottle-bill/>>